

AI detection report

A closer look at your writing.

Generated
October 15, 2026
4:25 PM


DETECTION SUMMARY

12%

AI probability

• Likely written by a human

An estimate for the whole document, rather than the portion of text written by AI.



489

Words scanned

10

Sentences analyzed

0 of 10

Likely AI sentences

Document: Pasted text

Prepared for Matthew Graham

01 Analyzed text

No passages highlighted.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF REDMONT
ShellSchockMSG v. Department of Homeland Security [2026] DCR 151

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL WITNESS LIST PURSUANT TO RULE 4.9
Your Honour,

In accordance with Rule 4.9 of the Court Rules and Procedures Plaintiff is providing a supplemental list of witnesses prior to Discovery closing.

Plaintiff will be using the following witnesses.

1. ShellSchockMSG — Plaintiff

Plaintiff can provide testimony about the following.

Arrest and detention on August 13th 2026;

DHS Appeal;

The events that led up to the 3 bank robbery convictions;

Damages from being detained;

Assault that took place while detained in Revcatraz;

01 Analyzed text

No passages highlighted.

Anything else that Plaintiff can recall that is pertinent to the case and damages.

2. paper_cute

Plaintiff's police file states that paper_cute is the officer who placed crime record #20869.

Testimony can be provided on.

How crime record #20869 was created/input;

The 3 bank robbery convictions that were placed on the crime record;

What evidence was attached to the crime record at time of input;

Why all 3 occurrences were input together.

3. Individual who took and/or gave P-002 to DHS. Currently unknown.

Testimony can be provided on.

How P-002 was taken;

Location of the photo taker;

What the photo taker could see;

How P-002 was given to DHS;

And what they used to conclude that P-002 went along with the 1st bank robbery.

4. Individual who took and/or gave P-003 and P-004 to DHS. Currently unknown.

Testimony can be provided on.

How P-003 and P-004 were taken;

What the photo taker saw;

How P-003 and P-004 were given to DHS;

What role the photographer played during these occurrences;

Anything else that may apply to authenticating these exhibits.

Plaintiff asked for this individual's name during Discovery. Defendant denied giving Plaintiff the name. So

Plaintiff will use this witness by description until we know more.

5. Individual(s) that made D-001 – D-003. Currently unknown.

Testimony can be provided on.

How the scene was made;

Where they placed each person;

What they used to create this;

If this was from evidence at the time of the incident or assumptions made afterward.

And what they were trying to show with D-001 – D-003.

6. Individual(s) that made/authenticated D-004 – D-007. Currently unknown.

Testimony can be provided on.

01 Analyzed text

No passages highlighted.

Where they got the discord/global-chat info from;

How they knew that the global-chat info went with P-002 – P-004;

What time stamp/time zone this was done in;

What bridge was being used and if it works properly.

What they used to assume these dates for all 3 occurrences.

These are all the witnesses Plaintiff can think of right now who are unknown. Once we find out their names during discovery, we will add them to this list.

Not all of these witnesses will be called by Plaintiff. We are listing them so we don't lose our chance to call them if needed after Discovery closes.

Respectfully submitted,

ShellShockMSG

Attorney, Bar Association of Redmont

Plaintiff, self-represented

DATED: October 15, 2026

Reading the score

Human: below 15%. Mixed: 15-29%. Likely AI: 30% or higher.

Scores of 75% and above display as 100%, as on screen.

Reading the highlights

Highlights mark passages flagged by the model. Darker tints indicate higher sentence scores when available. Sentence scores are separate from the document score.

AI detection is an estimate, not proof of authorship. False positives and negatives are possible. Consider drafts, context and writing history; do not use this report alone to penalize a writer.