

AI detection report

A closer look at your writing.

Generated
October 15, 2026
5:47 PM


DETECTION SUMMARY

14%

AI probability

● Likely written by a human

An estimate for the whole document, rather than the portion of text written by AI.



577

Words scanned

9

Sentences analyzed

0 of 9

Likely AI sentences

Document: Pasted text

Prepared for Matthew Graham

01 Analyzed text

No passages highlighted.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF REDMONT
ShellSchockMSG v. Department of Homeland Security [2026] DCR 151

NOTICE TO THE COURT REGARDING CURRENT STAFF DEPORTATION

Your Honour,

I wish to notify the Court that I am currently under a Staff deportation due to allegations of AI use in some of my filings.

I am contesting this through the Staff appeal process. I do not expect the Court to intervene in this Staff punishment using DCR 151.

I am notifying the Court because my case is still pending, and if I am deported, I may be unable to appear and retrieve information and/or witnesses for my case.

I plan to continue self-representing and abiding by all court-ordered deadlines to the best of my ability.

01 Analyzed text

No passages highlighted.

AI-Detection Results

Due to my current moderation punishment, I have taken it upon myself to run all my previous filings through Phrasly.ai to get more in-depth results on whether my work is AI-generated or not.

They all came back with low percentages:

Case Filing - 11% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. It found 0 out of 168 sentences to be likely AI.

Response to Motion to Dismiss - 13% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. It found 0 out of 96 sentences to be likely AI.

Initial Interrogatories - 17% chance of being AI-generated. Could be a combination of AI and human. Found 3 out of 17 sentences to be likely AI.

Response to Defendants' objections to interrogatories - 13% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. Found 0 out of 38 sentences to be likely AI.

Response to objection - 12% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. Found 0 out of 15 sentences to be likely AI.

Response about privilege - 12% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. Found 0 out of 19 sentences to be likely AI.

Response to Breach of Procedure - 12% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. Found 0 out of 15 sentences to be likely AI.

Rule 4.7 - 0% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. Found 0 out of 28 sentences to be likely AI.

Witness list Supplemental - 12% chance of being AI-generated and likely human-written. Found 0 out of 10 sentences to be likely AI.

These AI detector reports are not concrete proof that someone used AI or didn't use AI. Phrasly says these are estimates, can produce false positives/negatives, and that you should not punish someone based on the report.

So I am not trying to show that Staff has punished me unfairly. I'm sharing this information because I believe it could affect my current case. And that there are no signs from an AI detector that show my work was AI.

What I plan on doing going forward.

01 Analyzed text

No passages highlighted.

Since my work is being questioned, I will upload a Phrasely report with every filing I submit for the rest of my case. To make sure there are no more questions about my work.

This is for informational purposes only. I know these are just estimates and do not hold any true facts.

I do not believe I need a stay or extension at this time due to my deportation.

I just want the court to be informed of my situation. If my deportation stops me from getting evidence/witnesses/records or meeting a deadline set by the court, I will let the court know and request what I need to continue my case.

Respectfully submitted,
ShellShockMSG
Attorney, Bar Association of Redmont
Plaintiff, self-represented

DATED: October 15, 2026

Reading the score

Human: below 15%. Mixed: 15-29%. Likely AI: 30% or higher.
Scores of 75% and above display as 100%, as on screen.

Reading the highlights

Highlights mark passages flagged by the model. Darker tints indicate higher sentence scores when available. Sentence scores are separate from the document score.

AI detection is an estimate, not proof of authorship. False positives and negatives are possible. Consider drafts, context and writing history; do not use this report alone to penalize a writer.