

Budgetmich
Dentons Hamilton, LLP
2 DCTC, Ste 1200
Hamilton, DC
Counsel for Defendant

COURT OF DEMOCRACYCRAFT

HAMILTON, DC

_DARK_HELMET_, Individually,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PARTYPIG678, Individually,

Defendant,

Case No.: 08-2020-18

**ANSWER TO CIVIL COMPLAINT AND
COUNTERCLAIM**

Defendant, PARTYPIG678, by and through their counsel, BUDGETMICH, responds to the complaint of Plaintiff, _DARK_HELMET_, as follows.

I. Answer to Complaint

1. Affirmed

2. Affirmed in part. Defendant affirms that the sales are not backed by contract but denies that there are no “trappings of legitimacy.” The phrase “trappings of legitimacy” has no legal basis and thus is too specious to be substantiated.

3. Affirmed in part. Defendant affirms that the price has fluctuated but denies that this is for “no apparent reason.” The phrase “no *apparent* reason” has no legal or objective basis and thus is too specious to be substantiated. Defendant denies that the price has changed for no reason.

4. Affirmed

II. Affirmative Defenses

5. Plaintiff’s complaint alleges a violation of a rule, not a law. Civil plaintiffs may not sue for violations of rules.

III. Counterclaim

A. Facts

6. Plaintiff/Counter-defendant DARK_HELMET_ claimed for relief under the Fraud rule of Democracycraft

7. The statute cited by Plaintiff/Counter-defendant is a rule and not a law

8. The Court rules (*viz. Creating a Lawsuit*) specifically prohibit the filing of lawsuits citing rules by individuals.

9. Plaintiff/Counter-defendant alleged in Discord on multiple occasions

10. Defendant/Counter-plaintiff lost business in the amount of \$250 due to Plaintiff/Counter-defendant's claims

B. Claims for Relief

11. Plaintiff/Counter-defendant directly violated the court rules.

12. In so doing, Plaintiff/Counter-defendant filed a “Frivolous Court Case” under Misc. Laws (1)

13. By alleging that Defendant/Counter-plaintiff was engaged in fraud (a false claim) in Discord, Plaintiff/Counter-defendant committed Slander under Misc. Laws (14)

C. Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Defendant/Counter-plaintiff prays for the following relief:

1. Compensatory damages in the amount of \$450 for lost revenue (\$250) and attorneys' fees (\$200)

2. Punitive damages in the amount of \$200 for Frivolous Court Case (\$60) and Slander (\$140)

3. Such other and further relief as this court deems just

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the Server of Democracy that the foregoing is true
2 and correct.

3 Dated this 18th day of August, 2020.

4
5 Budgetmich
6 Budgetmich
7 Dentons Hamilton, LLP
8 2 DCTC, Ste 1200
9 Hamilton, DC
10 Counsel for Defendant
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28