



ETHICS COMMITTEE REPORT

An Ethics Committee investigation into the conduct of Counselor and Attorney Aladeen

Signed the Ethics Committee Chairman - JoeGamer2120

Signed Ethics Committee Members -

Cooleagles

LavenderxBlaxii

Lawanoesepr

nnmc

The Ethics Committee monitors the legal field with a close making sure that no lawyer breaks the Redmont Bar Association's ethical doctrine. We as the lawyers of Redmont must make sure to protect the professional standards as lawyers, and to the best of our ability, fight for our clients in a court of law. We on the ethics committee make sure that this professional standard is upheld by the lawyers of Redmont. When a complaint is made, or a member of the ethics committee sees something that may not align with the ethical doctrine, we make it our priority to investigate these complaints.

That being stated, the Ethics Committee believes that Counselor Aladeen has broken the RBA ethical doctrine.

The ethics committee does believe that Aladeen broke the Ethical Doctrine of the RBA.

In Chrisorg1 (Aladeen21 representing) v. GoldBlooded, Aladeen did not do his best to represent his client in the Federal Court, embarrassing his client, and failing to meet the Ethical Doctrine of the Redmont Bar Association. These are serious infractions, and these infractions must be dealt with swiftly and proper justice must be given to protect the professionalism of the legal field.

As written in the ethical doctrine, negligence is defined as

“an act by a lawyer where he has breached his duty towards a client, has failed to act on behalf of a client or subsequently failed in doing his duty within the case that has cost the client in damages or reputation that could have been averted but due to there negligence of failure to act”

Aladeen has failed to act on the behalf of his client. In the lawsuit filed by Aladeen on behalf Chrisorg1, Aladeen provided no Claims for Relief. Instead, he stated a fractal statement, providing no merit to his lawsuit. Remarks made in the Hon. Justice Matthew100x continued the sentiment of failing to represent.

Aladeen, your case is lacking serious merits. Your claim for relief is a factual statement and there is no conclusion to build off of. There is no cited law nor cited precedents to back up your claims. There's nothing for me to conclude other than your client was jailed for 105 minutes. Why is this bad? Why is this illegal? Why do your claims for relief rise to a sufficient level for me to give you a prayer for relief? What is your prayer of relief backed by?

The Ethics Committee must differentiate between failure to act on behalf of his/her client and acting on behalf of their client in the event of a dismissal.

A lawyer acting in good faith will properly file a lawsuit, providing for all four sections of a lawsuit to the best of their ability. They will make sure that their client is properly represented through the entire process: from the original filing to a verdict. When put under the pressure of a motion to dismiss, a lawyer ought to respond and lay out why the case should continue. Unless wild circumstances are present, failure to respond is a failure to act on behalf of his client. This is what the ethical obligation of the lawyer is. Failure to do this is acting in bad faith, and can reflect poorly on the lawyer himself/herself, and the legal community.

A lawyer that does not act in good faith fails to properly file a lawsuit in the Courts of Redmont. Failure to provide a response in all four sections of a lawsuit, and stick with their client through the entirety of the case. A lawyer who files a frivolous lawsuit fails to adequately represent their client, and in turn breaks the Ethical Doctrine. These lawyers disgrace their name and the legal field as a whole, and action must be dealt to those who fail to follow the RBA Ethical Doctrine.

Therefore, the members of the Ethics Committee and the Chairman of the Committee express displeasure with the actions of the Councilor Aladeen for breaking the Ethical Doctrine of the RBA. We agree that the lawsuit filed against GoldBlooded was frivolous and failed to properly and adequately represent Chrisorg1 in the Federal Court of Redmont. We recommend that the RBA Council disbar Aladeen for four weeks and removed from the RBA Council.